UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/727,854	12/04/2003	Craig Andrews	LYNN/0161	7862	
24945 STREETS & ST	7590 03/08/2007 FEELE	EXAMINER			
	WEST FREEWAY	WILLS, MONIQUE M			
SUITE 355 HOUSTON, TX	X 77040	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
•			1745		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
31 DAYS		03/08/2007	PAP	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Α	pplication No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		1	0/727,854	ANDREWS ET AL.			
		E	xaminer	Art Unit			
			onique M. Wills	1745			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ Respo	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 December 2003</u> .						
	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
,	/ 						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of	Claims						
4)⊠ Claim	(s) 1-85 is/are pending in the app	lication.					
· ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim	(s) is/are allowed.						
6)∭ Claim	(s) is/are rejected.			•			
7)∐ Claim	(s) is/are objected to.		·				
8)⊠ Claim	(s) <u>1-85</u> are subject to restriction	and/or elec	tion requirement.				
Application Pa	pers						
9)∏ The sp	pecification is objected to by the E	Examiner.					
10)⊠ The dr	awing(s) filed on <u>03 May 2004</u> is	/are: a)⊠ a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replac	cement drawing sheet(s) including th	e correction	is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) <u></u> The oa	ath or declaration is objected to b	y the Exam	iner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
_	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	011 1 (000 000)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)			4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
			5) Notice of Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) U Other:							

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121: .

- I. Claims 1-76, drawn to a bipolar plate, classified in class 429, subclass 34.
- II. Claims 77-85 drawn to a method for assembling the bipolar plate classified in class 429, subclass 39.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made.

The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the apparatus may be made by a materially different method including forming the sealing frame in situ.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the

Art Unit: 1745

evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Monique Wills whose telephone number is (571) 272-1309. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan, may be reached at 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 10/727,854

Art Unit: 1745

Page 5

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MW

3/5/07

PATRICK JOSEPH RYAN

LUZNY EXAMINER